





HC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/559,704	04/27/2000	Michael D. Zoeckler	7137	2557
7:	590 09/24/2002			
Steve M Mclary			EXAMINER	
Riverwood International Corporation 3350 Riverwood Parkway S E			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
Suite 1400 Atlanta, GA 3	.0330		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Atlanta, GA 50			3721	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)	— GA		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0-1		
	09/559,704	ZOECKLER, MICH	HAEL D.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
7, 100,000	Christopher R Harmon		dua a a		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	aress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum o vill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed  If thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u>	September 2002 .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a straight of Claims.			e merits is		
Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 25-34 is/are pending in the	application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vii iroiii oorioideratiori.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 25-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		_ disapproved by the Examine	er.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.1.	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).		
<ul> <li>a)    The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)    Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the compact of t</li></ul>	• •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( e of Informal Patent Application (PTC :			

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The final rejection (paper no. 11) has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 11-13, 16, 25, 29-32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Campbell et al. (US 1,600,396).

Campbell et al. disclose a method for forming carton blanks comprising advancing a web of paperboard along a path; progressively applying, with an adhesive, at least one ribbon of reinforcing material 8 with a width less than the paperboard; the paperboard is then cut and scored (some score lines are adjacent to edge of ribbon 6) to form individual carton blanks for receiving articles (see figures 1, 3, and 4).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell et al. (US 1,600,396).

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704

Art Unit: 3721

Campbell et al. do not directly disclose printing indicia on the ribbon, however the examiner takes OFFICIAL NOTICE that it is well known in the art to print indicia on at least one ribbon of reinforcing material before adhering it to the web of paperboard.

6. Claims 6 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell et al. (US 1,600,396).

Campbell et al. does not directly disclose adhering a second ribbon to the first ribbon. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to do so because Applicant has not disclosed that a second ribbon provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem other than reinforcing the paperboard. Varying the thickness of the reinforcing ribbon would be an obvious conclusion. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with one ribbon because the designer would make the thickness of the ribbon adequate for reinforcing the container.

Furthermore, it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routing skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

7. Claims 2, 14-15, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell et al. (US 1,600,396) in view of Stone (US 5,551,938).

Campbell et al. do not directly disclose using paperboard (trim or otherwise) for the reinforcing ribbons 8. However, Stone teaches manufacturing a paperboard carton with

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704

Art Unit: 3721

a reinforcing collar made of "either paperboard, thick paper...or flexible plastic." (column

4, lines 48-49). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

to use a reinforcing ribbon of paperboard (trim, cull, etc.) as taught by Stone to supply

additional support to the cartons of Campbell et al.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is

703-308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from

8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579

for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

ch

September 18, 2002

Rinaldi I. Rada

Page 4

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700